Thanks for all. I'd not read the Lockheed stories so knowing that Pratt only began his rewriting of FOIA with me is valuable. I did not see the reporting of the 1023 hearing for the same reason.

In a different sense this relates to a use I say at some point want to make of what Wocht actually said. It reminds me of Congress. In addition to the Edwards subcommittee Abzug has one on FOIA and there is the Schiweker/Hart subcommittee. I do not think this will directly interest the Abzug subcommittee but they may get into aspects of practices in which they might perhaps have to know more about people like Cyril and his (then?) fans. More likely with Schweiker. I think it is important for us to remember that these Congressional people, no matter how large and competent their staffs, can relive the past decade and are pretty much at the mercy of those who have reputations and credentials. They can't learn and can't put together what we have.

I have no plans for using any of this now. And the restriction in what you quoted is against me and I think perhaps you only and then only against telling us anything about Cyril's great discoveries. What you sent me contains none of these world-shaking sensations. Only Cyril's self-portrait. Nothing else of which I know in this interests us. It is possible, of course, that he noted and didn't understand something. And at some point I'd like more than he's written about that 3/4" bullet he saw in pix that did not show in X-rays. This, however, in the future. Not now.

Jim was there. We discussed this after you phoned. He said that among those taping the historic event was Smith. Jim taped part. He didn't recall this until I mentioned what you'd said.

Of course I can refute what Cyril said about you, "snotty-nosed kid" at 16. I would be happy, should the need present itself, to give you an affidavit that you used my Kleenex.